arXiv:1407.6750v3 [math.AP] 20 Dec 2015

COMBINED EFFECTS OF TWO NONLINEARITIES IN LIFESPAN OF SMALL SOLUTIONS TO SEMI-LINEAR WAVE EQUATIONS

KUNIO HIDANO, CHENGBO WANG, AND KAZUYOSHI YOKOYAMA

In memory of Rentaro Agemi

Abstract. This paper investigates the combined effects of two distinctive power-type nonlinear terms (with parameters $p, q > 1$) in the lifespan of small solutions to semi-linear wave equations. We determine the full region of (p, q) to admit global existence of small solutions, at least for spatial dimensions $n = 2, 3$. Moreover, for many (p, q) when there is no global existence, we obtain sharp lower bound of the lifespan, which is of the same order as the upper bound of the lifespan.

1. Introduction

In this paper, we are interested in determining the dichotomy between the global solvability and the blow up, for a large class of the small-amplitude semilinear wave equations with two distinctive power-type nonlinear terms. More precisely, letting $p, q > 1$, and considering the sample wave equations with parameters (p, q)

$$\partial_t^2 u - \Delta u = |\partial_t u|^p + |u|^q, \ \ t > 0, \ \ x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \tag{1.1}$$

we are interested in determining the region of (p, q), for which the following statement is true: for any given nontrivial pair of compactly supported smooth functions (f, g), there exists a small parameter $\varepsilon_0 = \varepsilon_0(f, g, n, p, q) > 0$, such that the problem with initial data of sufficiently small size $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$

$$u(0, x) = \varepsilon f(x), \ \ \partial_t u(0, x) = \varepsilon g(x), \tag{1.2}$$

admits global solutions. For the cases where there is no global existence, we are also interested in the estimate of lifespan, denoted by $T_\varepsilon(p, q)$, from above and below, in terms of the parameters (ε, n, p, q). Here, the lifespan is defined as the supremum of $T > 0$ such that the problem admits a unique solution in $[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n$.

When the spatial dimension is one, the standard ordinary differential inequality argument (see, e.g., [16, 27, 28, 38, 42]) could be easily adapted to show that, for any $p, q > 1$, the problem does not admit global solutions in general. On the basis of this fact, in what follows, we will assume $n \geq 2$.

Date: December 22, 2015.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35L05, 35L15, 35L71.

Key words and phrases. Wave equation, lifespan, Strauss conjecture, Glassey conjecture.

The authors are very grateful to the referees for their helpful comments. The first author was supported in part by the Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C) (No. 23540198), Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS). The second author was supported by NSFC 11301478, 11271322, and Zhejiang Provincial Natural Science Foundation of China LR12A01002.

The problem can be regarded as a natural combination of the following two well-investigated problems

$$\partial_t^2 v - \Delta v = |v|^q, \ \ t > 0, \ \ x \in \mathbb{R}^n \ , \tag{1.3}$$

$$\partial_t^2 w - \Delta w = |\partial_t w|^p, \ \ t > 0, \ \ x \in \mathbb{R}^n \ . \tag{1.4}$$

The first problem (1.3) is in relation with the Strauss conjecture, for which the critical power, denoted by $q_c(n)$, is known to be the positive root of the quadratic equation

$$(n-1)q^2 - (n+1)q - 2 = 0 \tag{1.5}$$

that is,

$$q_c(n) := \frac{n+1+\sqrt{n^2+10n-7}}{2(n-1)} \ . \tag{1.6}$$

This problem was initiated in [13], where the critical value was determined to be $p_c = 1+\sqrt{2}$ for $n = 3$. Shortly afterward, [30] included the conjecture that the critical power is given by $q_c(n)$. The existence portion of the conjecture was verified in [6] ($n = 2$), [39] ($n = 4$), [23] ($n \le 8$), [18, 23, 19] ($n \ge 5$ and radial data) and [4, 33] (all $n \ge 2$). The necessity of $q > q_c$ for small data global existence is due to [13, 5, 28, 25, 38, 41]. Concerning the estimates of the lifespan, denoted by T_ε^S, for $1 < q \le q_c$, it is known that, for some constant $C > 0$, we have (see [32, 43] and references therein)

$$T_\varepsilon^S \le S_\varepsilon(q) := \begin{cases} C\varepsilon^{-\frac{2q(q-1)}{2(q+1)-(n-1)q(q-1)}}, & q < q_c, \\ \exp(C\varepsilon^{-q(q-1)}), & q = q_c, \end{cases}$$

for any $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$, which is known to be sharp at least for $\max(1, 2/(n-1)) < q < q_c$, or $q = q_c$ with $n \le 8$ (see [20, 23, 31] and references therein). See [9, 29, 22, 37] and references therein for recent works for this problem on various spacetimes.

Concerning (1.4), it is conjectured that the critical power is given by

$$(n-1)(p_c - 1) = 2, \ \ p_c(n) = 1 + \frac{2}{n-1} \ ,$$

which is known as the Glassey conjecture. For the case $1 < p \le p_c$, nonexistence of global small solutions and upper bound of the lifespan (denoted by T_ε^G)

$$T_\varepsilon^G \le G_\varepsilon(p) := \begin{cases} C\varepsilon^{-\frac{2(p-1)}{2-(n-1)(p-1)}}, & p < p_c, \\ \exp(C\varepsilon^{-(p-1)}), & p = p_c, \end{cases}$$

has been known through the works [14, 26, 24, 1, 40]. For the existence part with $p > p_c$, global existence of small solutions has been proved for $n = 2, 3$ in [10, 34] (with an earlier radial 3-D result [27]). Recently, the present authors have succeeded in extending the results of [27, 10, 34] to the case of higher space dimensions $n \ge 4$ under the radial assumption of the initial data [11]. The existence part of the Glassey conjecture with general data for $n \ge 4$ remains unsolved. See [35, 36] for recent works for this problem on various spacetimes.

On the basis of the known blow up results for the Strauss conjecture and the Glassey conjecture, by comparing the nonlinearities, it would not be difficult to



FIGURE 1. Blow up region for (p,q): the shaded region (except the broken curve)

adapt the proof to conclude that

$$T_\varepsilon(p,q) \le \begin{cases} S_\varepsilon(q), & q \le q_c\ , \\ G_\varepsilon(p), & p \le p_c\ . \end{cases} \tag{1.7}$$

(For the definition of $T_\varepsilon(p,q)$, see the first paragraph of this section.) Then to admit global small solutions, we are forced to consider $q > q_c$ and $p > p_c$. Recently, Han and Zhou [7] studied the blow up phenomenon for (1.1). Among others, they found a new combined effect on the lifespan, by proving the blow up results for $n \ge 2$, $q > q_c$, $p > p_c$ and

$$(q-1)((n-1)p-2) < 4. \tag{1.8}$$

Moreover, they obtained an upper bound of the lifespan

$$T_\varepsilon(p,q) \le Z_\varepsilon(p,q) := C\varepsilon^{-\frac{2p(q-1)}{2(q+1)-(n-1)p(q-1)}}, \tag{1.9}$$

for the cases where

$$\max(1,\frac{2}{n-1}) < p \le \frac{2n}{n-1},\ 1 < q < \frac{2n}{n-2},\ (q-1)((n-1)p-2) < 4.$$

Here, by checking the proof, we observe that, the restriction $p > 2/(n-1)$ is not necessary. That is, the upper bound (1.9) is actually valid for

$$1 < p \le \frac{2n}{n-1},\ 1 < q < \frac{2n}{n-2},\ (q-1)((n-1)p-2) < 4.$$

See Figure 1 for the illustration of the known region of non-existence of global small solutions. It is interesting to observe that the conformal power $1+4/(n-1)$ occurs very naturally in the figure.

It will then be very natural and interesting to ask whether (1.7) and (1.8) are the only regions of blow up or not, and try to determine the full region of global existence. Of course, we expect global existence when p, q are both large enough (recall that we are considering small data problem). In the case where one of the powers (say q) is relatively small comparing the other, the nonlinearity with that power will tend to be dominant and one may infer the behavior of problem similar to the problem with only one power-type nonlinear term (say $|u_t|^p + |u|^q \sim |u|^q$ for



FIGURE 2. Region to admit global existence: the shaded region (except the broken lines)

the case $q \ll p$). The essential difficulty comes from the case where neither of the powers is large.

Assuming the blow up results (1.7), (1.8) have been precise enough, with the experiences from the Strauss conjecture and the Glassey conjecture, we may naturally infer that we have global existence for

$$q > q_c, p > p_c, (q-1)((n-1)p-2) > 4\ , \tag{1.10}$$

and may only admit almost global existence for the "critical" case

$$q > q_c, p > p_c, (q-1)((n-1)p-2) = 4\ . \tag{1.11}$$

Surprisingly enough, in this paper, we are able to prove global existence, not only for (1.10), but also for the "critical" case (1.11). This is our first main theorem. As the Glassey conjecture for $n \geq 4$, with general data, remains open, it is very natural for us to restrict ourselves to the case $n = 2, 3$ in our main theorems.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $n = 2, 3$, $s_d := 1/2 - 1/q$,*

$$q > q_c,\ \ p > p_c \text{ and } (q-1)((n-1)p-2) \geq 4. \tag{1.12}$$

Suppose that $f \in \dot{H}^1 \cap \dot{H}^{s_d}$ and $g \in L^2 \cap \dot{H}^{s_d-1}$ with

$$\Lambda := \sum_{|\beta|\leq 2, |\alpha|\leq \min(2,|\beta|+1)} \big(\|x^\alpha \nabla_x^\beta f\|_{\dot{H}^1\cap\dot{H}^{s_d}} + \|x^\alpha \nabla_x^\beta g\|_{L^2\cap\dot{H}^{s_d-1}} \big) < \infty. \tag{1.13}$$

Then, there exists an $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ depending on n, p, q, and Λ such that the Cauchy problem (1.1)-(1.2) admits a unique global solution, provided that $\varepsilon \in [0, \varepsilon_0)$.

For a more precise statement of the result, see Theorem 4.1. See Figure 2 for the region of global existence, that is, the shaded region (except the broken lines). Here, and in what follows, by $\|\cdots\|_{\dot{H}^1\cap\dot{H}^{s_d}}$ we naturally mean

$$\|\varphi\|_{\dot{H}^1\cap\dot{H}^{s_d}} := \|\varphi\|_{\dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \|\varphi\|_{\dot{H}^{s_d}(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \tag{1.14}$$

For the cases where there is no global existence, that is, when $q \leq q_c$, or $p \leq p_c$, or $(q-1)((n-1)p-2) < 4$, we are also interested in the estimate of lifespan T_ε, from



FIGURE 3. Dimension three: estimates of the lifespan

above and below. Observe that for the cases where $1 < p \le 2n/(n-1)$, $1 < q < q_c$ and $(q-1)((n-1)p-2) < 4$, we have

$$S_\varepsilon \ge Z_\varepsilon \text{ for } \varepsilon \ll 1 \ \Leftrightarrow q \ge p \ ,$$

and for the cases where $1 < p < p_c$, $1 < q < 2n/(n-2)$ and $(q-1)((n-1)p-2) < 4$, we have

$$G_\varepsilon \ge Z_\varepsilon \text{ for } \varepsilon \ll 1 \Leftrightarrow q \le 2p-1 \ .$$

Then we know from (1.7) and (1.9) that

$$T_\varepsilon \le \begin{cases} G_\varepsilon(p), & 1 < p \le p_c,\ q \ge 2p-1, \\ S_\varepsilon(q), & 1 < q \le q_c,\ q \le p, \\ Z_\varepsilon(p,q), & (q-1)((n-1)p-2) < 4,\ 2p-1 \ge q \ge p > 1 \end{cases}$$

when $n \ge 2$. On the basis of these observations, it is natural to infer that the sharp lower bound is of the same size as these upper bounds. In the following second main theorem, we obtain the sharp lifespan estimates, for $n = 2, 3$, $q > 2/(n-1)$ and $q, p \ge 2$, except the critical case $q = q_c \le p$.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $n = 2, 3$, $q > 2/(n-1)$ and $q, p \ge 2$. Assume also $q \le q_c$, $p \le p_c$ or $(q-1)((n-1)p-2) < 4$. Then for any $f \in \dot{H}^1 \cap \dot{H}^{s_d}$ and $g \in L^2 \cap \dot{H}^{s_d - 1}$ with $\Lambda < \infty$, there exists an $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ depending on n, p, q, and Λ such that the Cauchy problem (1.1)-(1.2) admits a unique solution for $t \in [0, T]$, provided that $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$, where*

$$T = \begin{cases} G_\varepsilon(p), & 2 \le p \le p_c,\ q \ge 2p-1, \\ S_\varepsilon(q), & 2 \le q < q_c,\ 2/(n-1) < q \le p, \\ \exp(c\varepsilon^{1-q}), & q = q_c \le p, \\ Z_\varepsilon(p,q), & (q-1)((n-1)p-2) < 4,\ 2 \le p \le q \le 2p-1 \end{cases}$$

for some small constant $c > 0$. Moreover, the lower bound of the lifespan is sharp in general, except $q = q_c \le p$.

For a more precise statement of the result, see Theorem 5.1. See Figures 3 and 4 for the illustration of the lower bound of the lifespan. The existence result for smooth nonlinearity with $(p, q, n) = (3, 4, 2)$ and $T = Z_\varepsilon(3, 4)$ was known from [15].



FIGURE 4. Dimension two: estimates of the lifespan (except the broken line)

Remark 1.3. For the critical case $q = q_c \le p$ and $n = 2, 3$, we know that

$$\exp(c\varepsilon^{1-q}) \le T_\varepsilon \le \exp(C\varepsilon^{-q(q-1)}) \ .$$

It will be interesting to determine the sharp estimate of the lifespan, and we infer that the sharp estimate will be $T_\varepsilon \ge \exp(c\varepsilon^{-q(q-1)})$ for $q = q_c \le p$.

Remark 1.4. As is obvious from the proofs, Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 remain valid for the equations of the form

$$\partial_t^2 u - \Delta u = F_q(u) + G_p(\partial_t u, \nabla u),$$

where F_q and G_p are C^2 functions with

$$|\partial_u^j F_q(u)| \le C_j |u|^{q-j}, \forall u \in [-1, 1], j = 0, 1, 2,$$

$$\sum_{|\alpha|=j} |\partial_v^\alpha G_p(v)| \le C_j |v|^{p-j}, \forall v \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}, |v| \le 1, |\alpha| \le 2.$$

Let us conclude the introduction by describing the strategy of the proof. It is natural to view the problem (1.1) as either a perturbation of (1.3) by a forcing term $|\partial_t u|^p$, or a perturbation of (1.4) by a forcing term $|u|^q$. One of the remarkable difficulties of the problem lies in the fact that the standard proofs for these two problems are typically distinct, which forces us to seek a robust proof of existence results which could handle both of the nonlinearities effectively. Fortunately, there does exist a method of proof which has the same nature and works well for both of the nonlinearities.

Actually, inspired by [21], the first author [8] developed an alternative proof of global existence of small solutions to (1.3) with $q > q_c(n)$ and $n = 2, 3, 4$, by using

the homogeneous Sobolev space $\dot{H}^{s_d}$ in the iteration argument. It turns out that such a method works well also for the (1.4) when $n = 2, 3$, by using the standard energy space $\dot{H}^1 \times L^2$. As the proof for (1.3) is more involved than that for (1.4), to prove Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2, it is natural to view the problem as a perturbation of (1.3) by a forcing term $|\partial_t u|^p$.

The equation (1.1) has the "forcing term" $|\partial_t u|^p$, which involves a higher-order derivative of u. This naturally leads us to a modification of the norm in the iteration scheme, and we allow for some growth of the $\dot{H}^{s_d}$ norm, see (4.1) and (5.1).

This paper is organized as follows. In the next section, we collect several preliminary inequalities. In Section 3, we give the setup for the existence results, and prove the basic iteration estimates, which is the key in the proof of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2. Then, using the key estimates obtained in Section 3, we present the proof of global existence, Theorem 1.1, and long time existence, Theorem 1.2, in Sections 4 and 5, on a case-by-case basis.

1.1. **Notation.** For $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$, we will use polar coordinates $x = r\omega$ with $r = |x|$, $\omega \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$, and the full space-time gradient $\partial = (\partial_t, \nabla_x) = (\partial_0, \partial_1, \dots, \partial_n)$. In addition, we denote $L_j = t\partial_j + x_j\partial_0$ $(1 \le j \le n)$, $\Omega_{kl} = x_k\partial_l - x_l\partial_k$ $(1 \le k < l \le n)$, $L_0 = t\partial_0 + x \cdot \nabla$. The collection of all these operators is denoted by Γ_j, with $0 \le j \le \nu := (n^2 + 3n + 2)/2$. For a multi-index $\alpha = (\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_\nu)$, $\Gamma^\alpha := \Gamma_0^{\alpha_0} \cdots \Gamma_\nu^{\alpha_\nu}$. Moreover we will employ the notation $\langle x \rangle := \sqrt{1 + |x|^2}$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\|\Gamma^{\le k} u\| := \sum_{|\alpha| \le k} \|\Gamma^\alpha u\|$, and use the Fourier multiplier $|D| := \sqrt{-\Delta}$.

The homogeneous space $\dot{H}^s$ for $|s| < n/2$ is the completion of Schwartz functions with respect to the norm $\||D|^s u\|_{L^2}$. We will use the following mixed-norm $L_t^{q_1} L_r^{q_2} L_\omega^{q_3}$,

$$\|f\|_{L_t^{q_1} L_r^{q_2} L_\omega^{q_3}} = \left\| \left(\int_0^\infty \|f(t, r\omega)\|_{L_\omega^{q_3}}^{q_2} r^{n-1} dr \right)^{1/q_2} \right\|_{L^{q_1}(t>0)},$$

with trivial modification for the case $q_2 = \infty$, where L_ω^q is the standard Lebesgue space on the sphere $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$. Occasionally, we will omit the subscripts. Also, at times we will employ abbreviations, such as $L^{q_1} L^{q_2} = L_t^{q_1} L_r^{q_2} L_\omega^{q_2}$ and $L_T^q = L^q([0, T])$.

Let $2 \le p < \infty$, $2 \le q < \infty$, $q > 2/(n-1)$, $s_d := 1/2 - 1/q \in [0, 1/2) \cap ((2-n)/2, 1/2)$. We introduce

$$X_u^k(t) := \|\Gamma^{\le k} u(t)\|_{\dot{H}^{s_d}},\ Y_u^k(t) := \|\partial \Gamma^{\le k} u(t)\|_{L^2},\ Z_u^k(t) := X_u^k(t)^{\frac{q+1}{q+2}} Y_u^k(t)^{\frac{1}{q+2}},$$

with abbreviation $X_u = X_u^0$, $Y_u = Y_u^0$, $Z_u = Z_u^0$, and define $p_1, p_2 \in (1, 2), q_1, q_2 \in [2, \infty]$ as follows

$$\frac{n}{p_1} = 1 - s_d + \frac{n}{2},\ \frac{n-1}{p_2} = \frac{n}{2} - s_d = \frac{n}{q_1},\ \frac{1}{q_1} + \frac{1}{q_2} = \frac{1}{2}, \tag{1.15}$$

such that we have the following

$$L^{p_1} \subset \dot{H}^{s_d - 1},\ L_\omega^{p_2} \subset H_\omega^{s_d - 1/2},\ \dot{H}^{s_d} \subset L^{q_1}.$$

Observe also that we have

$$\frac{1}{p_1} = \frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{q_1} = \frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{q_2},\ \frac{1}{p_2} = \frac{1}{q(n-1)} + \frac{1}{2}, q_2 = \frac{n}{s_d}. \tag{1.16}$$

Let $\chi_1(t, x)$ be the characteristic function of the set $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x| < (1 + |t|)/2\}$ and $\chi_2 = 1 - \chi_1$. We will also use $A \lesssim B$ to stand for $A \le CB$ where the constant

C may change from line to line. In addition, when denoting by $a+$ (or $a-$) for $a \in \mathbb{R}$, we mean that the relevant estimate holds for $a+\varepsilon$ (or $a-\varepsilon$) for sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$. Also, the notation $\infty-$ means that the relevant estimate holds for sufficiently large values.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we give some preliminary results.

Proposition 2.1 (Sobolev inequalities)**.** *For any $s \in [0, n/2)$, we have*

$$\|v\|_{L^{q_0}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|v\|_{\dot{H}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \|v\|_{\dot{H}^{-s}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|v\|_{L^{q_0'}(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \ \frac{n}{q_0} = \frac{n}{2} - s. \tag{2.1}$$

Proposition 2.2. *Let $n \geq 2$, $s_d = 1/2 - 1/q$ with $2 \leq q < \infty$. Then we have*

$$\|r^{(n-1)s_d} v\|_{L^q_r L^2_\omega} \lesssim \|v\|_{\dot{H}^{s_d}} \ . \tag{2.2}$$

Proof. See Theorem 2.10 of [21]. For the reader's convenience, we give an alternative proof of (2.2), by using the endpoint trace inequality and the real interpolation. Recall the following endpoint trace inequality (see [3])

$$\sup_{r>0} r^{(n-1)/2} \|u(r\cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})} \lesssim \|u\|_{\dot{B}^{1/2}_{2,1}}, n \geq 2 \ . \tag{2.3}$$

Here, and in the following discussion, by $\dot{B}^s_{p,q} = \dot{B}^s_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ we mean the homogeneous Besov space, see, e.g., Chapter 6 of [2]. Observe also the obvious equality

$$\|r^{(n-1)/2} u(r\cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+; L^2(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}))} = \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \ , \tag{2.4}$$

where $\mathbb{R}^+ := (0, \infty)$. Let $T(u) := r^{(n-1)/2} \|u(r\cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})}$. Then, by Theorems 3.1.2, 6.4.5, and 5.2.1 of [2] (see also *Remark* on page 41 of [2]), we see that the sublinear operator

$$T : \dot{B}^{1/2}_{2,1}(\mathbb{R}^n) + L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \to L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+) + L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)$$

satisfies

$$T : [\dot{B}^{1/2}_{2,1}(\mathbb{R}^n), \dot{B}^0_{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)]_{2/q,q} \to [L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+), L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)]_{2/q,q}$$

and so is the inequality

$$\|T(u)\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^+)} \lesssim \|u\|_{\dot{B}^{1/2-1/q}_{2,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|u\|_{\dot{H}^{1/2-1/q}(\mathbb{R}^n)}, 2 < q < \infty \tag{2.5}$$

which gives us (2.2). ∎

Proposition 2.3. *If $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $s > n/p$, then the inequality*

$$(1 + |t| + |x|)^{(n-1)/p} (1 + ||t| - |x||)^{1/p} |v(t,x)| \lesssim \|\Gamma^{\leq s} v(t,\cdot)\|_{L^p_x} \tag{2.6}$$

holds. If $1 \leq p < q < \infty$ and $1/q \geq 1/p - 1/n$, then we have

$$\|\chi_1 v(t,\cdot)\|_{L^q_x} \lesssim (1 + |t|)^{-n(1/p-1/q)} \|\Gamma^{\leq 1} v(t,\cdot)\|_{L^p_x} \ . \tag{2.7}$$

See [17] and [21, Theorem 2.9] for the proof of (2.6) and (2.7).
We will also need the following trace estimates.

Proposition 2.4. *Let $n \geq 2$. Then the inequalities*

$$\|r^{(n/2)-s}v(r\omega)\|_{L^\infty_r H^{s-1/2}_\omega} \lesssim \|v\|_{\dot{H}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \frac{1}{2} < s < \frac{n}{2} \tag{2.8}$$

$$\|r^{(n/2)-s}v(r\omega)\|_{L^\infty_r L^p_\omega} \lesssim \|v\|_{\dot{H}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \frac{1}{2} < s < \frac{n}{2}, \frac{n-1}{p} = \frac{n}{2} - s. \tag{2.9}$$

hold. In addition, if $2 \leq p \leq 4$, $q = 2p/(4-p)$, then we have

$$r^{(n-1)/2}\|u(r\cdot)\|_{L^p_\omega} \leq \sqrt{p}\|\partial_r u\|^{1/2}_{L^2}\|u\|^{1/2}_{L^2_r L^q_\omega}. \tag{2.10}$$

If $p \in [2, \min\{4, 2(n-1)/(n-2)\}]$ except the endpoint $p = 4$ and $n = 3$, then

$$\sup_{r>0} r^{(n-1)/2}\|v(r\cdot)\|_{L^p_\omega} \leq C\|\partial_r v\|^{1/2}_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}\|\Omega^{\leq 1}v\|^{1/2}_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} . \tag{2.11}$$

Proof. For the proof of the trace lemma (2.8), see [12] for $n \geq 3$ and [3] for $n \geq 2$. By the Sobolev embedding on the unit sphere $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$, we obtain (2.9) directly from (2.8).

Let us turn to the inequality (2.10), which generalizes the well-known inequality

$$r^{(n-1)/2}\|u(r\cdot)\|_{L^2_\omega} \leq \sqrt{2}\|\partial_r u\|^{1/2}_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}\|u\|^{1/2}_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} . \tag{2.12}$$

It suffices to give the proof for $u \in C^\infty_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We use a natural modification of the proof of (2.12). We first note for any fixed $R > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \left(R^{(n-1)/2}\|u(R\cdot)\|_{L^p_\omega}\right)^p &= \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} R^{(n-1)p/2}|u(R\omega)|^p d\omega \\ &\leq p\int_R^\infty \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} r^{(n-1)p/2}|u(r\omega)|^{p-1}|(\omega\cdot\nabla u)(r\omega)|drd\omega \\ &\leq p\|r^{(n-1)\theta/2}u\|^{p-1}_{L^{2(p-1)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\|\partial_r u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

where $\theta := (p-2)/(p-1)$. Using $1/(2(p-1)) = \theta/p + (1-\theta)/q$, $(1-\theta)(p-1) = 1$, we get

$$\|r^{(n-1)\theta/2}u\|_{L^{2(p-1)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \|r^{(n-1)/2}u\|^\theta_{L^\infty_r L^p_\omega}\|u\|^{1-\theta}_{L^2_r L^q_\omega}. \tag{2.14}$$

The inequalities (2.13)-(2.14) yield

$$\|r^{(n-1)/2}u\|^p_{L^\infty_r L^p_\omega} \leq p\|r^{(n-1)/2}u\|^{p-2}_{L^\infty_r L^p_\omega}\|u\|_{L^2_r L^q_\omega}\|\partial_r u\|_{L^2},$$

which gives (2.10).

Then (2.11) follows immediately from (2.10), if we recall the embedding $H^1_\omega \hookrightarrow L^q_\omega$ with $q = 2p/(4-p)$, for $p \in [2, \min\{4, 2(n-1)/(n-2)\}]$ except the endpoint case $p = 4$ and $n = 3$. ∎

By Sobolev inequality (2.1) and duality to the trace lemma (2.9), we have for $2/(n-1) < q < \infty$, i.e., $1 - s_d \in (1/2, n/2)$,

$$\|F\|_{\dot{H}^{s_d-1}} \lesssim \|\chi_1 F\|_{L^{p_1}} + \|\langle t\rangle^{-(n-2)/2-s_d}\chi_2 F\|_{L^1_r L^{p_2}_\omega} , \tag{2.15}$$

where p_1 and p_2 are defined in (1.15). Thus, using the standard energy estimates, we get the following

Proposition 2.5. *Let $n \geq 2$ and $2/(n-1) < q < \infty$. Then, for any $T > 0$ we have*

(2.16)
$$\|\partial u(T)\|_{\dot{H}^{s_d-1}} \lesssim \|\partial u(0)\|_{\dot{H}^{s_d-1}} + \|\chi_1 F\|_{L^1_T L^{p_1}} + \|\langle t\rangle^{-(n-1)/2+1/q}\chi_2 F\|_{L^1_T L^1_r L^{p_2}_\omega}$$

and

$$\|\partial u(T)\|_{L^2} \lesssim \|u(0)\|_{\dot{H}^1} + \|\partial_t u(0)\|_{L^2} + \|F\|_{L^1_T L^2}, \tag{2.17}$$

for any solutions to the inhomogeneous wave equation $\partial_t^2 u - \Delta u = F$.

Moreover, we have the following classical relations by direct computations.

Proposition 2.6. *The following commuting relations hold*:

$$[\Gamma_i, \Box] = 0 \text{ for } i = 0, \dots, \nu-1, \text{ and } [L_0, \Box] = -2\Box, \tag{2.18}$$

$$[\partial_j, \Gamma_k] = \sum_{l=0}^{n} C_l^{j,k}\partial_l, [\Gamma_j, \Gamma_k] = \sum_{l=0}^{\nu} C_l^{j,k}\Gamma_l, \ j, k = 0, \dots, \nu. \tag{2.19}$$

Here $C_l^{j,k}$ denotes a constant depending on j, k, and l.

In particular, we see by this proposition the equivalence between $\|\Gamma^{\leq 2}\partial u(t,\cdot)\|_{L^2_x}$ and $\|\partial\Gamma^{\leq 2}u(t,\cdot)\|_{L^2_x}$. This fact will be repeatedly employed.

3. Iteration

Let $n = 2, 3$, $q \in [2,\infty) \cap (2/(n-1), \infty)$, $p \in [2,\infty)$, $s_d = 1/2 - 1/q$, (f, g) be functions with (1.13) and the solution space

$$S_T = \{u : \partial\Gamma^\alpha u \in C([0,T]; \dot{H}^{s_d-1} \cap L^2),\ |\alpha| \leq 2, u(0) = \varepsilon f, \partial_t u(0) = \varepsilon g\} \tag{3.1}$$

with $S_\infty = \cap_{T>0} S_T$. For any $u \in S_T$, we define Pu as the solution of the following linear wave equation

$$(\partial_t^2 - \Delta)Pu = F(u) := a|u|^q + b|\partial_t u|^p, Pu(0) = \varepsilon f, \partial_t(Pu)(0) = \varepsilon g\ , \tag{3.2}$$

and then solving (1.1) in S_T is equivalent to finding the fixed point u such that $Pu = u$.

In view of Propositions 2.5 and 2.6, to show $Pu \in S_T$ for $u \in S_T$, we need to obtain the initial bound on $(\Gamma^\alpha u, \partial_t\Gamma^\alpha u)_{|t=0}$, which is ensured by the following proposition.

Proposition 3.1 (Initial data)**.** *Let $n = 2, 3$, $u \in S_T$. Rewrite $(\Gamma^\alpha Pu)(0)$ and $(\partial_t\Gamma^\alpha Pu)(0)$ in terms of f and g, through the equation (3.2). Then there exists a constant $C_0 > 0$ depending only on n, p, q, and independent of $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$, such that*

$$\sum_{|\alpha|\leq 2} \left(\|\partial\Gamma^\alpha Pu(0)\|_{\dot{H}^{s_d-1}} + \|\partial\Gamma^\alpha Pu(0)\|_{L^2}\right) \leq C_0 M\varepsilon\ . \tag{3.3}$$

Here, we have defined

$$M := \Lambda + \Lambda^{2p-1} + \Lambda^{p+q-1}. \tag{3.4}$$

As is standard, to prove the existence of the fixed point, we typically show that the operator P maps certain ball in S_T into itself, and satisfies certain (weak) contraction property on that ball.

Proposition 3.2 (Uniform boundedness). *Let $n = 2, 3$, $q \in [2, \infty) \cap (2/(n-1), \infty)$, $p \in [2, \infty)$, $s = s_d$. There exists a constant $C_1 \geq C_0$ such that we have for any $u \in S_T$ with $T \in (0, \infty)$,*

(3.5)
$$X_{Pu}^2(T) \leq C_1 M\varepsilon + C_1 \int_0^T \left(\langle t \rangle^{-(q-1)(\frac{n}{2}-s)+1} X_u^2(t)^q + \langle t \rangle^{-(p-1)\frac{n-1}{2}+\frac{1}{2}-s} Y_u^2(t)^p \right) dt \, ,$$

$$\begin{aligned} Y_{Pu}^2(T) \quad &\leq \quad C_1 M\varepsilon + C_1 \int_0^T \left(\langle t \rangle^{-(p-1)\frac{n-1}{2}} Y_u^2(t)^p + \langle t \rangle^{-q(\frac{n}{2}-s)+\frac{n}{2}} X_u^2(t)^q \right) dt \\ &\quad + C_1 \int_0^T \langle t \rangle^{-(q-1)\frac{n-1}{2}} Z_u^2(t)^q dt \, . \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

Remark 3.3. Setting

$$\alpha_1 := -(q-1)(\frac{n}{2} - s) + 1 = \frac{1}{q} - \frac{n-1}{2}(q-1),$$

$$\alpha_2 := -(p-1)\frac{n-1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} - s = \frac{1}{q} - \frac{n-1}{2}(p-1),$$

$$\alpha_3 := -(p-1)\frac{n-1}{2}, \ \alpha_4 := -(q-1)\frac{n-1}{2},$$

$$\alpha_5 := -q(\frac{n}{2} - s) + \frac{n}{2} = -\frac{1}{2} - \frac{n-1}{2}(q-1),$$

we can rephrase (3.5)-(3.6) as follows

$$X_{Pu}^2(T) \leq C_1 M\varepsilon + C_1 \int_0^T \left(\langle t \rangle^{\alpha_1} X_u^2(t)^q + \langle t \rangle^{\alpha_2} Y_u^2(t)^p \right) dt \, , \tag{3.7}$$

(3.8)
$$Y_{Pu}^2(T) \leq C_1 M\varepsilon + C_1 \int_0^T \left(\langle t \rangle^{\alpha_3} Y_u^2(t)^p + \langle t \rangle^{\alpha_4} X_u^2(t)^{\frac{q+1}{q+2}q} Y_u^2(t)^{\frac{q}{q+2}} + \langle t \rangle^{\alpha_5} X_u^2(t)^q \right) dt \, ,$$

for any $T > 0$.

Proposition 3.4 (Convergence). *Let $n = 2, 3$, $q \in [2, \infty) \cap (2/(n-1), \infty)$, $p \in [2, \infty)$, $s = s_d$. Then there exists a constant $C_2 \geq C_1$ such that for any $T \in (0, \infty)$, we have for any $u, v \in S_T$,*

$$\begin{aligned} X_{Pu-Pv}(T) \quad &\leq \quad C_2 \int_0^T \langle t \rangle^{\alpha_1} (X_u^2(t) + X_v^2(t))^{q-1} X_{u-v}(t) dt \\ &\quad + C_2 \int_0^T \langle t \rangle^{\alpha_2} (Y_u^2(t) + Y_v^2(t))^{p-1} Y_{u-v}(t) dt \, , \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

$$\begin{aligned} Y_{Pu-Pv}(T) \quad &\leq \quad C_2 \int_0^T \langle t \rangle^{\alpha_3} (Y_u^2(t) + Y_v^2(t))^{p-1} Y_{u-v}(t) dt \\ &\quad + C_2 \int_0^T \langle t \rangle^{\alpha_4} (Z_u^2(t) + Z_v^2(t))^{q-1} Z_{u-v}(t) dt \\ &\quad + C_2 \int_0^T \langle t \rangle^{\alpha_5} (X_u^2(t) + X_v^2(t))^{q-1} X_{u-v}(t) dt \, . \end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

3.1. **Proof of Propositions 3.2 and 3.4.** In this subsection, we present the proof of Propositions 3.2 and 3.4, which could be viewed as the main estimates of this paper. We will put off the tedious proof of Proposition 3.1 till the next subsection.

By Propositions 2.5, 2.6 and 3.1, for the proof of Proposition 3.2, we need only to prove that for fixed $t > 0$,

$$\|\chi_1\Gamma^{\le 2}|u(t,\cdot)|^q\|_{L^{p_1}} + \|\langle t\rangle^{-(n-1)/2+1/q}\chi_2\Gamma^{\le 2}|u|^q\|_{L^1_r L^{p_2}_\omega} \lesssim \langle t\rangle^{\alpha_1} X_u^2(t)^q, \tag{3.11}$$

$$\|\chi_1\Gamma^{\le 2}|\partial_t u|^p\|_{L^{p_1}} + \|\langle t\rangle^{-(n-1)/2+1/q}\chi_2\Gamma^{\le 2}|\partial_t u|^p\|_{L^1_r L^{p_2}_\omega} \lesssim \langle t\rangle^{\alpha_2} Y_u^2(t)^p, \tag{3.12}$$

$$\|\Gamma^{\le 2}|\partial_t u|^p\|_{L^2} \lesssim \langle t\rangle^{\alpha_3} Y_u^2(t)^p, \tag{3.13}$$

$$\|\Gamma^{\le 2}|u|^q\|_{L^2} \lesssim \langle t\rangle^{\alpha_4} X_u^2(t)^{\frac{q+1}{q+2}q} Y_u^2(t)^{\frac{q}{q+2}} + \langle t\rangle^{\alpha_5} X_u^2(t)^q\ . \tag{3.14}$$

Similarly, the proof of Proposition 3.4 is reduced to

$$\||u|^q - |v|^q\|_{\dot H^{s_d-1}} \lesssim \langle t\rangle^{\alpha_1}(X_u^2(t) + X_v^2(t))^{q-1} X_{u-v}(t), \tag{3.15}$$

$$\||\partial_t u|^p - |\partial_t v|^p\|_{\dot H^{s_d-1}} \lesssim \langle t\rangle^{\alpha_2}(Y_u^2(t) + Y_v^2(t))^{p-1} Y_{u-v}(t), \tag{3.16}$$

$$\||\partial_t u|^p - |\partial_t v|^p\|_{L^2} \lesssim \langle t\rangle^{\alpha_3}(Y_u^2(t) + Y_v^2(t))^{p-1} Y_{u-v}(t), \tag{3.17}$$

$$\||u|^q - |v|^q\|_{L^2} \lesssim \langle t\rangle^{\alpha_4}(Z_u^2(t) + Z_u^2(t))^{q-1} Z_{u-v}(t) + \langle t\rangle^{\alpha_5}(X_u^2(t) + X_v^2(t))^{q-1} X_{u-v}(t)\ . \tag{3.18}$$

3.1.1. *Estimates* (3.11) *and* (3.15). We start with the estimate of (3.11), for which we have

$$|\Gamma^{\le 2}|u|^q| \lesssim |u|^{q-2}|\Gamma^{\le 1}u|^2 + |u|^{q-1}|\Gamma^{\le 2}u|\ .$$

Using (2.6)-(2.7) and (2.1), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|\chi_1|u|^{q-2}|\Gamma^{\le 1}u|^2\|_{L^{p_1}} &\le \|\chi_1 u\|_{L^\infty}^{q-2}\|\chi_1\Gamma^{\le 1}u\|_{L^{2p_1}}^2 \\ &\lesssim \langle t\rangle^{-\frac{n}{q_1}(q-2)-n(\frac{1}{q_1}-\frac{1}{2p_1})\times 2}\|\Gamma^{\le 2}u\|_{L^{q_1}}^q \lesssim \langle t\rangle^{\alpha_1} X_u^2(t)^q. \end{aligned} \tag{3.19}$$

In the case of $2p_1 < q_1$, we have actually employed the Hölder inequality to get $\|\chi_1 v\|_{L^{2p_1}} \le C\langle t\rangle^{-n(1/q_1-1/(2p_1))}\|\chi_1 v\|_{L^{q_1}}$. Using $1/p_1 = 1/n + 1/q_1$, we also get

$$\begin{aligned} \|\chi_1|u|^{q-1}\Gamma^{\le 2}u\|_{L^{p_1}} &\le \|\chi_1 u\|_{L^{n(q-1)}}^{q-1}\|\chi_1\Gamma^{\le 2}u\|_{L^{q_1}} \\ &\lesssim \langle t\rangle^{-n(\frac{1}{q_1}-\frac{1}{n(q-1)})(q-1)}\|\Gamma^{\le 2}u\|_{L^{q_1}}^q \lesssim \langle t\rangle^{\alpha_1} X_u^2(t)^q. \end{aligned} \tag{3.20}$$

The same kind of proof gives us

$$\begin{aligned} \|\chi_1(|u|^q - |v|^q)\|_{L^{p_1}} &\lesssim (\|\chi_1 u\|_{L^{n(q-1)}} + \|\chi_1 v\|_{L^{n(q-1)}})^{q-1}\|\chi_1(u-v)\|_{L^{q_1}} \\ &\lesssim \langle t\rangle^{\alpha_1}(X_u^2(t) + X_v^2(t))^{q-1} X_{u-v}(t). \end{aligned} \tag{3.21}$$

Using the Sobolev embedding on $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$, $H^1_\omega \subset L^{\infty-}_\omega$, $H^2_\omega \subset L^\infty_\omega$, and (2.2), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|\chi_2|u|^{q-2}|\Gamma^{\le 1}u|^2\|_{L^1_r L^{p_2}_\omega} &\le \|\chi_2 u\|_{L^q_r L^\infty_\omega}^{q-2}\|\chi_2\Gamma^{\le 1}u\|_{L^q_r L^{2p_2}_\omega}^2 \\ &\lesssim \|\chi_2\Gamma^{\le 2}u\|_{L^q_r L^2_\omega}^q \lesssim \langle t\rangle^{-(n-1)(\frac12-\frac1q)q} X_u^2(t)^q = \langle t\rangle^{\alpha_1-(1/q-(n-1)/2)} X_u^2(t)^q. \end{aligned} \tag{3.22}$$

As $p_2 < 2$, we also obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|\chi_2|u|^{q-1}\Gamma^{\le 2}u\|_{L^1_r L^{p_2}_\omega} &\lesssim \|\chi_2 u\|_{L^q_r L^{\infty-}_\omega}^{q-1}\|\chi_2\Gamma^{\le 2}u\|_{L^q_r L^2_\omega} \lesssim \|\chi_2\Gamma^{\le 1}u\|_{L^q_r L^2_\omega}^{q-1}\|\chi_2\Gamma^{\le 2}u\|_{L^q_r L^2_\omega} \\ &\lesssim \langle t\rangle^{-(n-1)(\frac12-\frac1q)q} X_u^2(t)^q = \langle t\rangle^{\alpha_1-(1/q-(n-1)/2)} X_u^2(t)^q, \end{aligned} \tag{3.23}$$

which completes the proof of (3.11).

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|\chi_2(|u|^q-|v|^q)\|_{L_r^1L_\omega^{p_2}} &\lesssim (\|\chi_2 u\|_{L_r^qL_\omega^{\infty-}}+\|\chi_2 v\|_{L_r^qL_\omega^{\infty-}})^{q-1}\|\chi_2(u-v)\|_{L_r^qL_\omega^2}\\ &\lesssim \langle t\rangle^{\alpha_1-(1/q-(n-1)/2)}(X_u^2(t)+X_v^2(t))^{q-1}X_{u-v}(t),\end{aligned}\tag{3.24}$$

which, together with (3.21), gives (3.15).

3.1.2. *Estimates* (3.12) *and* (3.16). Next, we deal with (3.12), for which we have $|\Gamma^{\le 2}|\partial_t u|^p|\lesssim|\partial_t u|^{p-2}|\Gamma^{\le 1}\partial_t u|^2+|\partial_t u|^{p-1}|\Gamma^{\le 2}\partial_t u|$. Using (2.6)-(2.7), we get

$$\begin{aligned}\|\chi_1|\partial_t u|^{p-2}|\Gamma^{\le 1}\partial_t u|^2\|_{L^{p_1}} &\le \|\chi_1\partial_t u\|_{L^\infty}^{p-2}\|\chi_1\Gamma^{\le 1}\partial_t u\|_{L^{2p_1}}^2\\ &\lesssim \langle t\rangle^{-\frac{n}{2}(p-2)-n(\frac12-\frac{1}{2p_1})\times 2}\|\Gamma^{\le 2}\partial_t u\|_{L^2}^p\\ &= \langle t\rangle^{-n(p-1)/2+1-s_d}\|\Gamma^{\le 2}\partial_t u\|_{L^2}^p\\ &\lesssim \langle t\rangle^{\alpha_2}Y_u^2(t)^p,\end{aligned}\tag{3.25}$$

where we have used the assumption $p\ge 2$ in the last inequality. Similarly, using $1/p_1=(q+2)/(2nq)+1/2$, we also get

$$\begin{aligned}\|\chi_1|\partial_t u|^{p-1}\Gamma^{\le 2}\partial_t u\|_{L^{p_1}} &\le \|\chi_1\partial_t u\|_{L^\infty}^{p-2}\|\chi_1\partial_t u\|_{L^{\frac{2nq}{q+2}}}\|\chi_1\Gamma^{\le 2}\partial_t u\|_{L^2}\\ &\lesssim \langle t\rangle^{-(n/2)(p-2)-n(1/2-(q+2)/(2nq))}\|\Gamma^{\le 2}\partial_t u\|_{L^2}^p\\ &= \langle t\rangle^{-n(p-1)/2+1-s_d}\|\Gamma^{\le 2}\partial_t u\|_{L^2}^p\\ &\lesssim \langle t\rangle^{\alpha_2}Y_u^2(t)^p.\end{aligned}\tag{3.26}$$

In the same vein, we could also get

$$\begin{aligned}&\|\chi_1(|\partial_t u|^p-|\partial_t v|^p)\|_{L^{p_1}}\\ \lesssim\ &(\|\chi_1\partial_t u\|_{L^\infty}^{p-2}\|\chi_1\partial_t u\|_{L^{\frac{2nq}{q+2}}}+\|\chi_1\partial_t v\|_{L^\infty}^{p-2}\|\chi_1\partial_t v\|_{L^{\frac{2nq}{q+2}}})\|\chi_1\partial_t(u-v)\|_{L^2}\\ \lesssim\ &\langle t\rangle^{\alpha_2}(Y_u^2(t)+Y_v^2(t))^{p-1}Y_{u-v}(t).\end{aligned}\tag{3.27}$$

On the other hand, employing the Sobolev embedding on $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ and (2.11), we get

$$\begin{aligned}&\|\chi_2|\partial_t u|^{p-2}|\Gamma^{\le 1}\partial_t u|^2\|_{L_r^1L_\omega^{p_2}}\le\|\chi_2\partial_t u\|_{L^\infty}^{p-2}\|\chi_2\Gamma^{\le 1}\partial_t u\|_{L_r^2L_\omega^{2p_2}}^2\\ \lesssim&\|\chi_2\Gamma^{\le 1}\partial_t u\|_{L_r^\infty L_\omega^{2+}}^{p-2}\|\Gamma^{\le 2}\partial_t u\|_{L^2}^2\lesssim\langle t\rangle^{-(n-1)(p-2)/2}\|\Gamma^{\le 2}\partial_t u\|_{L^2}^p.\end{aligned}\tag{3.28}$$

Using $1/p_2=1/2+1/(q(n-1))$, we also obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\|\chi_2|\partial_t u|^{p-1}\Gamma^{\le 2}\partial_t u\|_{L_r^1L_\omega^{p_2}}\le\|\chi_2\partial_t u\|_{L^\infty}^{p-2}\|\partial_t u\|_{L_r^2L_\omega^{q(n-1)}}\|\Gamma^{\le 2}\partial_t u\|_{L^2}\\ \lesssim&\langle t\rangle^{-(n-1)(p-2)/2}\|\Gamma^{\le 2}\partial_t u\|_{L^2}^p.\end{aligned}\tag{3.29}$$

Observing that $-(n-1)(p-2)/2=\alpha_2-(1/q-(n-1)/2)$, we see that this completes the proof of (3.12).

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}&\|\chi_2(|\partial_t u|^p-|\partial_t v|^p)\|_{L_r^1L_\omega^{p_2}}\\ \lesssim\ &(\|\chi_2\partial_t u\|_{L^\infty}^{p-2}\|\partial_t u\|_{L_r^2L_\omega^{q(n-1)}}+\|\chi_2\partial_t v\|_{L^\infty}^{p-2}\|\partial_t v\|_{L_r^2L_\omega^{q(n-1)}})\|\partial_t(u-v)\|_{L^2}\\ \lesssim\ &\langle t\rangle^{\alpha_2-(1/q-(n-1)/2)}(Y_u^2(t)+Y_v^2(t))^{p-1}Y_{u-v}(t),\end{aligned}\tag{3.30}$$

which, combined with (3.27), gives (3.16).

3.1.3. *Estimates* (3.13) *and* (3.17). For the estimate of $|\partial_t u|^{p-2}|\Gamma^{\le 1}\partial_t u|^2$, we get by using (2.6)-(2.7)

$$\|\chi_1|\partial_t u|^{p-2}|\Gamma^{\le 1}\partial_t u|^2\|_{L^2} \le \|\chi_1\partial_t u\|_{L^\infty}^{p-2}\|\chi_1\Gamma^{\le 1}\partial_t u\|_{L^4}^2 \lesssim \langle t\rangle^{-(n/2)(p-1)}\|\Gamma^{\le 2}\partial_t u\|_{L^2}^p ,$$

and using (2.6), (2.11), and the Sobolev embedding on $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$,

$$\begin{aligned}(3.31)\quad &\|\chi_2|\partial_t u|^{p-2}|\Gamma^{\le 1}\partial_t u|^2\|_{L^2}\\ &\le \|\partial_t u\|_{L^\infty}^{p-2}\|\chi_2\Gamma^{\le 1}\partial_t u\|_{L_r^\infty L_\omega^{2+}}\|\Gamma^{\le 1}\partial_t u\|_{L_r^2 L_\omega^{\infty-}} \lesssim \langle t\rangle^{-(n-1)(p-1)/2}\|\Gamma^{\le 2}\partial_t u\|_{L^2}^p .\end{aligned}$$

In addition, by (2.6), we get

$$(3.32)\quad \||\partial_t u|^{p-1}\Gamma^{\le 2}\partial_t u\|_{L^2} \le \|\partial_t u\|_{L^\infty}^{p-1}\|\Gamma^{\le 2}\partial_t u\|_{L^2} \lesssim \langle t\rangle^{-(n-1)(p-1)/2}\|\Gamma^{\le 2}\partial_t u\|_{L^2}^p.$$

Similarly, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\||\partial_t u(t,\cdot)|^p - |\partial_t v(t,\cdot)|^p\|_{L^2} &\lesssim (\|\partial_t u\|_{L^\infty} + \|\partial_t v\|_{L^\infty})^{p-1}\|\partial_t(u-v)\|_{L^2}\\ &\lesssim \langle t\rangle^{-(n-1)(p-1)/2}(Y_u^2(t) + Y_v^2(t))^{p-1}\|\partial_t(u-v)\|_{L^2} . \quad (3.33)\end{aligned}$$

3.1.4. *Estimates* (3.14) *and* (3.18). For the estimate of $\|\chi_1\Gamma^{\le 2}|u(t,\cdot)|^q\|_{L^2}$, we get by using (2.6)-(2.7) and (2.1)

$$\begin{aligned}\|\chi_1|u|^{q-2}|\Gamma^{\le 1}u|^2\|_{L^2} &\le \|\chi_1 u\|_{L^\infty}^{q-2}\|\chi_1\Gamma^{\le 1}u\|_{L^4}^2\\ &\lesssim (\langle t\rangle^{-n/q_1}\|\Gamma^{\le 2}u\|_{L^{q_1}})^{q-2}(\langle t\rangle^{-n(1/q_1-1/4)}\|\Gamma^{\le 2}u\|_{L^{q_1}})^2\\ &\lesssim \langle t\rangle^{-(n/q_1)q+(n/2)}X_u^2(t)^q = \langle t\rangle^{\alpha_5}X_u^2(t)^q \quad (3.34)\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\|\chi_1|u|^{q-1}\Gamma^{\le 2}u\|_{L^2} &\le \|\chi_1 u\|_{L^{q_2(q-1)}}^{q-1}\|\Gamma^{\le 2}u\|_{L^{q_1}}\\ &\lesssim \langle t\rangle^{-n(\frac{1}{q_1}-\frac{1}{q_2(q-1)})(q-1)}\|\Gamma^{\le 2}u\|_{L^{q_1}}^q\\ &\lesssim \langle t\rangle^{\alpha_5}X_u^2(t)^q. \quad (3.35)\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|\chi_1(|u|^q - |v|^q)\|_{L^2} &\lesssim (\|\chi_1 u\|_{L^{q_2(q-1)}}^{q-1} + \|\chi_1 v\|_{L^{q_2(q-1)}}^{q-1})\|u-v\|_{L^{q_1}}\\ &\lesssim \langle t\rangle^{\alpha_5}(X_u^2(t) + X_v^2(t))^{q-1}X_{u-v}(t). \quad (3.36)\end{aligned}$$

For the estimate of $\|\chi_2\Gamma^{\le 2}|u(t,\cdot)|^q\|_{L^2}$, we proceed as follows. Noting $1/2 = (q-2)/(2q) + 1/q$ and $1/2 - 1/(2q) = s_d(1-\theta) + \theta$ for $\theta := 1/(q+2)$, we obtain by using the Sobolev embedding on $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ and (2.2)

$$\begin{aligned}\|\chi_2|u|^{q-2}|\Gamma^{\le 1}u|^2\|_{L^2} &\lesssim \|\chi_2 u\|_{L_r^{2q}L_\omega^\infty}^{q-2}\|\chi_2\Gamma^{\le 1}u\|_{L_r^{2q}L_\omega^4}^2\\ &\lesssim \|\chi_2\Gamma^{\le 2}u\|_{L_r^{2q}L_\omega^2}^q\\ &\lesssim \langle t\rangle^{-(n-1)(1/2-1/(2q))q}\||D|^{1/2-1/(2q)}\Gamma^{\le 2}u\|_{L^2}^q\\ &\lesssim \langle t\rangle^{-(n-1)(q-1)/2}\|\Gamma^{\le 2}u\|_{\dot H^{s_d}}^{q(1-\theta)}\|\Gamma^{\le 2}u\|_{\dot H^1}^{q\theta}\\ &\lesssim \langle t\rangle^{-(n-1)(q-1)/2}X_u^2(t)^{q\frac{q+1}{q+2}}Y_u^2(t)^{\frac{q}{q+2}} = \langle t\rangle^{\alpha_4}Z_u^2(t)^q.\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, noting $1/2 = (q-1)/(2q) + 1/(2q)$ and using the Sobolev embedding on $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ and (2.2), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\|\chi_2|u|^{q-1}\Gamma^{\le 2}u\|_{L^2} &\lesssim \|\chi_2 u\|_{L_r^{2q}L_\omega^\infty}^{q-1}\|\chi_2\Gamma^{\le 2}u\|_{L_r^{2q}L_\omega^2}\\ &\lesssim \|\chi_2\Gamma^{\le 2}u\|_{L_r^{2q}L_\omega^2}^q \lesssim \langle t\rangle^{\alpha_4}Z_u^2(t)^q,\end{aligned}$$

and this completes the proof of (3.14). Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|\chi_2(|u|^q-|v|^q)\|_{L^2} &\lesssim (\|\chi_2 u\|_{L_r^{2q}L_\omega^\infty}+\|\chi_2 v\|_{L_r^{2q}L_\omega^\infty})^{q-1}\|\chi_2(u-v)\|_{L_r^{2q}L_\omega^2}\\ &\lesssim \langle t\rangle^{\alpha_4}(Z_u^2(t)+Z_v^2(t))^{q-1}Z_{u-v}(t),\end{aligned}\tag{3.37}$$

which, together with (3.36), gives (3.18).

3.2. **Proposition 3.1.** In this subsection, we give the tedious proof for Proposition 3.1.

By simple calculation, we can easily see that

$$\Gamma^\alpha Pu(0)=\sum_{|b|\le 2}\sum_{|a|\le|b|}C_{ab}^\alpha x^a\partial^b Pu(0),\tag{3.38}$$

$$\partial_t\Gamma^\alpha Pu(0)=\sum_{1\le|b|\le 2}\sum_{|a|\le|b|-1}\tilde{C}_{ab}^\alpha x^a\partial^b Pu(0)+\sum_{|a|\le|b|=2}\tilde{C}_{ab}^\alpha x^a\partial^b\partial_t Pu(0)\tag{3.39}$$

for $|\alpha|\le 2$, where $x^a=x_1^{a_1}\cdots x_n^{a_n}$ and $\partial^b=\partial_t^{b_0}\cdots\partial_n^{b_n}$. Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{|\alpha|\le 2}\|\partial\Gamma^\alpha Pu(0)\|_{L^2\cap\dot{H}^{s_d-1}}\\ \lesssim\ &\sum_{|a|\le|b|\le 2}\left\|x^a\partial^b Pu(0)\right\|_{\dot{H}^1\cap\dot{H}^{s_d}}+\sum_{|a|\le|b|-1\le 1}\left\|x^a\partial^b Pu(0)\right\|_{L^2\cap\dot{H}^{s_d-1}}\\ &+\sum_{|a|\le|b|=2}\left\|x^a\partial^b\partial_t Pu(0)\right\|_{L^2\cap\dot{H}^{s_d-1}},\\ \lesssim\ &\varepsilon\Lambda+\sum_{|a|\le 1}\left\|x^a\partial_t^2 Pu(0)\right\|_{L^2\cap\dot{H}^{s_d-1}}+\sum_{|a|\le 2}\left\|x^a\partial\partial_t^2 Pu(0)\right\|_{L^2\cap\dot{H}^{s_d-1}}\\ \lesssim\ &\varepsilon\Lambda+\sum_{|a|\le 1}\|x^a\Box Pu(0)\|_{L^2\cap\dot{H}^{s_d-1}}+\sum_{|a|\le 2}\|x^a\partial\Box Pu(0)\|_{L^2\cap\dot{H}^{s_d-1}}.\end{aligned}\tag{3.40}$$

Therefore, the proof of (3.3) is reduced to the estimate

$$\sum_{|a|\le|b|+1\le 2}\left\|x^a\partial^b\Box Pu(0)\right\|_{L^2\cap\dot{H}^{s_d-1}}\lesssim\varepsilon\left(\Lambda^p+\Lambda^q+\Lambda^{2p-1}+\Lambda^{p+q-1}\right).\tag{3.41}$$

According to the definition of Pu, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{|a|\le|b|+1\le 2}\|x^a\partial^b\Box Pu(0)\|_{L^2\cap\dot{H}^{s_d-1}}\\ \lesssim\ &\sum_{|a|\le 1}\|x^a(|\varepsilon f|^q+|\varepsilon g|^p)\|_{L^2\cap\dot{H}^{s_d-1}}+\sum_{|a|\le 2}\|x^a|\varepsilon f|^{q-1}|\varepsilon(\nabla f,g)|\|_{L^2\cap\dot{H}^{s_d-1}}\\ &+\|x^{\le 2}|\varepsilon g|^{p-1}|\varepsilon\nabla(\nabla f,g)|\|_{L^2\cap\dot{H}^{s_d-1}}+\|x^{\le 2}|\varepsilon g|^{p-1}(|\varepsilon f|^q+|\varepsilon g|^p)\|_{L^2\cap\dot{H}^{s_d-1}}.\end{aligned}\tag{3.42}$$

Recalling (1.13), we observe that

$$\|x^k\nabla^l(\nabla f,g)\|_{\dot{H}^{2-l}\cap\dot{H}^{s_d+k-l-1}}\lesssim\Lambda,\ 0\le k\le 2,0\le l\le 1,\tag{3.43}$$

$$\|x^k f\|_{\dot{H}^3\cap\dot{H}^{s_d+k-1}}+\|f\|_{\dot{H}^3\cap\dot{H}^{s_d}}+\|x^2(\nabla f,g)\|_{\dot{H}^1\cap\dot{H}^{s_d}}\lesssim\Lambda,\ 1\le k\le 2.\tag{3.44}$$

In particular, by Sobolev embedding, we have (see (1.15)-(1.16))

$$x^{\le 1}f\in\dot{H}^3\cap\dot{H}^{s_d}\subset L^\infty\cap L^{q_1},\ g\in\dot{H}^2\cap\dot{H}^{s_d-1}\subset L^\infty\cap L^2.$$

$$x^{\le 2}\nabla^{\le 1}(\nabla f,g)\in\dot{H}^1\cap\dot{H}^{s_d},\ x^{\le 2}g\in\dot{H}^1\cap\dot{H}^{s_d},$$

and for $n \geq 2$, $q \geq 2$,

$$\|uv\|_{L^2\cap \dot{H}^{s_d-1}} \lesssim \|u\|_{L^n\cap L^{n+}} \|v\|_{\dot{H}^1\cap \dot{H}^{s_d}} \ .$$

On the basis of these information, we are ready to prove (3.41). Actually, the first term on the right of (3.42), $\|x^{\leq 1}(|\varepsilon f|^q + |\varepsilon g|^p)\|_{L^2\cap \dot{H}^{s_d-1}}$, can be controlled by

$$\varepsilon^q \|x^{\leq 1} f\|_{\dot{H}^1\cap \dot{H}^{s_d}} \|f\|^{q-1}_{L^{n(q-1)}\cap L^\infty} + \varepsilon^p \|x^{\leq 1} g\|_{\dot{H}^1\cap \dot{H}^{s_d}} \|g\|^{p-1}_{L^{n(p-1)}\cap L^\infty} \lesssim \varepsilon^q \Lambda^q + \varepsilon^p \Lambda^p \ ,$$

where we have used the fact that $n(p-1) \geq 2$ and $n(q-1) \geq q_1$ for $n, p, q \geq 2$. For the second and third terms, they are bounded by

$$\varepsilon^q \|x^{\leq 2}(\nabla f, g)\|_{\dot{H}^1\cap \dot{H}^{s_d}} \|f\|^{q-1}_{L^{n(q-1)}\cap L^\infty} + \varepsilon^p \|x^{\leq 2}\nabla(\nabla f, g)\|_{\dot{H}^1\cap \dot{H}^{s_d}} \|g\|^{p-1}_{L^{n(p-1)}\cap L^\infty} \lesssim \varepsilon^q \Lambda^q + \varepsilon^p \Lambda^p \ .$$

For the last term,

$$\begin{aligned} & \|x^{\leq 2}|\varepsilon g|^{p-1}(|\varepsilon f|^q + |\varepsilon g|^p)\|_{L^2\cap \dot{H}^{s_d-1}} \\ \lesssim \ & \varepsilon^{p+q-1} \|x^{\leq 2} g\|_{\dot{H}^1\cap \dot{H}^{s_d}} \|g\|^{p-2}_{L^\infty} \|f\|^q_{L^{nq}\cap L^\infty} + \varepsilon^{2p-1} \|x^{\leq 2} g\|_{\dot{H}^1\cap \dot{H}^{s_d}} \|g\|^{2p-2}_{L^{n(2p-2)}\cap L^\infty} \\ \lesssim \ & (\varepsilon\Lambda)^{p+q-1} + (\varepsilon\Lambda)^{2p-1} \ . \end{aligned}$$

Hence (3.41) is proved and the proof of (3.3) is completed.

4. Global existence

In this section, using Propositions 3.2 and 3.4, we give the proof of global existence for $p > p_c$, $q > q_c$ and $((n-1)p-2)(q-1) \geq 4$, i.e., Theorem 1.1.

With more notations, we could state a more precise version of the theorem.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $n = 2, 3$, $s_d := 1/2 - 1/q$, $q > q_c$, $p > p_c$ and $(q-1)((n-1)p-2) \geq 4$. Suppose that $f \in \dot{H}^1 \cap \dot{H}^{s_d}$ and $g \in L^2 \cap \dot{H}^{s_d-1}$ with $\Lambda < \infty$. Then, there exists an $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ depending on n, p, q, and Λ such that the Cauchy problem (1.1)-(1.2) admits a unique global solution, provided that $\varepsilon \in [0, \varepsilon_0)$. Moreover, there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that the solution satisfies the following estimates,*

$$X_u^2(t) \leq CM\varepsilon A(t), Y_u^2(t) \leq CM\varepsilon,$$

where

$$A(t) = \begin{cases} 1, & q > \max(q_c, \frac{2}{(n-1)(p-p_c)}), \\ \ln(2+t), & q = \frac{2}{(n-1)(p-p_c)}, p < q_c, \\ \langle t\rangle^{\frac{1}{q} - \frac{n-1}{2}(p-p_c)}, & 1 + \frac{4}{(n-1)p-2} \leq q < \frac{2}{(n-1)(p-p_c)}, p < q_c. \end{cases} \tag{4.1}$$

See Figure 5 for an illustration of the region division.

As we see in the statement, we will give the proof of global existence for the following three cases:

(1) $p > p_c$, $q > \max(q_c, \frac{2}{(n-1)(p-p_c)})$,
(2) $p \in (p_c, q_c)$, $q = \frac{2}{(n-1)(p-p_c)}$,
(3) $p \in (p_c, q_c)$, $q \in [1 + \frac{4}{(n-1)p-2}, \frac{2}{(n-1)(p-p_c)})$,

and we will try to solve (1.1) in the ball $B_R := \{u \in S_\infty : X_u^2(t) \leq RA(t), Y_u^2(t) \leq R, \forall t \geq 0\}$, equipped with a weaker topology defined by $\|u\| = \|A(t)^{-1} X_u(t) + Y_u(t)\|_{L^\infty}$.



FIGURE 5. Region division in the region of global existence

4.1. **Case 1.** Let us begin with the easier case: $p > p_c$, $q > \max(q_c, \frac{2}{(n-1)(p-p_c)})$. It turns out that there exists an $\varepsilon_0 > 0$, such that, for any $\varepsilon \le \varepsilon_0$

$$u \in B_{2C_1M\varepsilon} \Rightarrow Pu \in B_{2C_1M\varepsilon} \ , \tag{4.2}$$

$$u, v \in B_{2C_1M\varepsilon} \Rightarrow \|Pu - Pv\| \le \frac{1}{2}\|u - v\| \ . \tag{4.3}$$

On the basis of these two estimates, it is a standard process to get the global existence of a unique solution in $B_{2C_1M\varepsilon_0}$.

First, let us give the proof of (4.2). Here, observe by direct calculation that

$$\alpha_1 < -1 \Leftrightarrow q > q_c, \ \alpha_3 < -1 \Leftrightarrow p > p_c, \ \alpha_4 < -1 \Leftrightarrow q > p_c,$$
$$\alpha_2 < -1 \Leftrightarrow q > \frac{2}{(n-1)(p-p_c)}, \ \alpha_5 < -1 \Leftrightarrow q > 1 + \frac{1}{n-1}.$$

Then we see that $\alpha_j < -1$ for all $1 \le j \le 5$ for this range of (p, q), and so, recalling (3.7)-(3.8), we have

$$\max(X^2_{Pu}(T), Y^2_{Pu}(T)) \le C_1M\varepsilon + C\varepsilon^p + C\varepsilon^q \le 2C_1M\varepsilon \ ,$$

for any $T \ge 0$ and $\varepsilon \le \varepsilon_0$, with $\varepsilon_0 \le 1$ satisfying $C\varepsilon_0^{p-1} + C\varepsilon_0^{q-1} \le C_1M$.

Similarly, for (4.3), recalling (3.9)-(3.10), we have

$$u, v \in B_{2C_1M\varepsilon} \Rightarrow \|Pu - Pv\| \le C(\varepsilon^{q-1} + \varepsilon^{p-1})\|u - v\| \le \frac{1}{2}\|u - v\|,$$

with sufficiently small $\varepsilon_0 \ll 1$.

4.2. **Case 2.** For the "critical" case: $p \in (p_c, q_c)$, $q = \frac{2}{(n-1)(p-p_c)}$, comparing with the proof for case 1, the only difference is that we have $\alpha_2 = -1$. On the basis of this observation, we have $Y^2_{Pu}(T) \le 2C_1M\varepsilon$, and

$$X^2_{Pu}(T) \le C_1M\varepsilon + C\varepsilon^p \ln(2+T) + C\varepsilon^q \le 2C_1M\varepsilon \ln(2+T) \ ,$$

$$X_{Pu-Pv}(T) \le C\varepsilon^{p-1}\|u - v\| \ln(2+T) + C\varepsilon^{q-1}\|u - v\| \le \frac{1}{2}\|u - v\| \ln(2+T) \ ,$$

$$Y_{Pu-Pv}(T) \le C\varepsilon^{p-1}\|u - v\| + C\varepsilon^{q-1}\|u - v\| \le \frac{1}{2}\|u - v\| \ ,$$

for any $T \geq 0$ and $\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$, with $\varepsilon_0 \ll 1$.

4.3. **Remaining cases.** Inspired by the proof for the "critical" case 2, for the remaining cases $p \in (p_c, q_c)$, $q \in [1 + \frac{4}{(n-1)p-2}, \frac{2}{(n-1)(p-p_c)})$, we use the ansatz $X_u^2(t) \lesssim \varepsilon \langle t \rangle^\gamma$, $Y_u^2(t) \lesssim \varepsilon$ to give the proof.

Let $\gamma = \frac{1}{q} + 1 - \frac{n-1}{2}(p-1) = \frac{1}{q} - \frac{n-1}{2}(p-p_c) > 0$, we observe by direct calculation that $\alpha_2 = \gamma - 1$,

$$\alpha_1 + \gamma q \leq \gamma - 1 \Leftrightarrow \gamma \leq \frac{n-1}{2} - \frac{q+1}{q(q-1)} \Leftrightarrow q \geq 1 + \frac{4}{(n-1)p-2},$$

$$\alpha_3 < -1 \Leftrightarrow p > p_c,$$

$$\alpha_4 + \gamma q \frac{q+1}{q+2} < -1 \Leftrightarrow \gamma < \frac{n-1}{2} \frac{(q+2)(q-p_c)}{q(q+1)} \Leftrightarrow \frac{n-1}{2}(p-1) > \frac{2}{q} + \frac{n}{q(q+1)} + \frac{3-n}{2},$$

$$\alpha_5 + \gamma q < -1 \Leftrightarrow \gamma < \frac{n-1}{2} - \frac{n}{2q} \Leftrightarrow \frac{n-1}{2}(p-1) > \frac{n+2}{2q} + \frac{3-n}{2}\ .$$

Notice that the last two inequality are true when $q = 1 + \frac{4}{(n-1)p-2}$ and so for any $q \geq 1 + \frac{4}{(n-1)p-2}$. This proves $Pu \in B_{2C_1 M\varepsilon}$ for any $u \in B_{2C_1 M\varepsilon}$, by (3.7)-(3.8). In the same vein, we have the convergence.

5. Long time existence

In this section, using Propositions 3.2 and 3.4, assuming $p, q \geq 2$ and $q > 2/(n-1)$, we give the proof of long time existence, for the cases $p \leq p_c$, $q \leq q_c$ or $((n-1)p-2)(q-1) < 4$, i.e., Theorem 1.2.

With more notations, we state a more precise version of the existence theorem in the following

Theorem 5.1. *Let $n = 2, 3$, $q > 2/(n-1)$ and $q, p \geq 2$. Assume also $q \leq q_c$, $p \leq p_c$ or $(q-1)((n-1)p-2) < 4$. Then for any $f \in \dot{H}^1 \cap \dot{H}^{s_d}$ and $g \in L^2 \cap \dot{H}^{s_d - 1}$ with $\Lambda < \infty$, there exists an $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ depending on n, p, q, and Λ such that the Cauchy problem (1.1)-(1.2) admits a unique solution, for $t \in [0, T]$, provided that $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$, where*

$$T = \begin{cases} G_\varepsilon(p), & 2 \leq p \leq p_c,\ q \geq 2p-1, \\ S_\varepsilon(q), & 2 \leq q < q_c,\ 2/(n-1) < q \leq p, \\ \exp(c\varepsilon^{1-q}), & q = q_c \leq p, \\ Z_\varepsilon(p, q), & (q-1)((n-1)p-2) < 4,\ 2 \leq p \leq q \leq 2p-1 \end{cases}$$

for some small constant $c > 0$. Moreover, there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that the solution satisfies the following estimates

$$X_u^2(t) \leq CM\varepsilon \langle t \rangle^\gamma, Y_u^2(t) \leq CM\varepsilon, t \in [0, T],$$

where

$$\gamma = \begin{cases} 0, & 2 < q \leq q_c, p \geq q, \\ \frac{1}{q}, & 2 \leq p \leq p_c, q \geq 2p-1, \\ \frac{q+1}{q-1}(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}), & ((n-1)p-2)(q-1) < 4, p \leq q \leq 2p-1. \end{cases} \tag{5.1}$$

We will give the proof of long time existence for the following cases:

(1) $p \geq q$, $\gamma = 0$, $T_\varepsilon = \begin{cases} S_\varepsilon(q), & 2 \leq q < q_c, q > 2/(n-1), \\ \exp(c\varepsilon^{-(q-1)}), & q = q_c, \end{cases}$

(2) $q \geq 2p-1$, $2 \leq p \leq p_c$, $\gamma = 1/q$, $T_\varepsilon = G_\varepsilon(p)$,

(3) $q \in (p, 2p-1)$, $((n-1)p-2)(q-1) < 4$, $\gamma = \frac{q+1}{q-1}(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q})$, $T_\varepsilon = Z_\varepsilon(p,q)$.

As in Section 4, we will try to solve (1.1) in the ball $B_R := \{u \in S_{T_\varepsilon}, X_u^2(t) \le R\langle t\rangle^\gamma, Y_u^2(t) \le R, \forall t \in [0, T_\varepsilon]\}$, equipped with a weaker topology defined by $\|u\| = \|\langle t\rangle^{-\gamma} X_u(t) + Y_u(t)\|_{L^\infty_{T_\varepsilon}}$.

Before proceeding, let us state a technical lemma, which proof is elementary and is left for the interested readers.

Lemma 5.2. *Let $T_\varepsilon = c\varepsilon^{-A}$ with $A > 0$, $\gamma \ge 0$ and $s > 1$. If $A(1+\beta-\gamma) \le s-1$, then for any $\delta > 0$, there exist $c > 0$ and $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that*

$$\int_0^T \langle t\rangle^\beta \varepsilon^s dt \le \delta\varepsilon\langle T\rangle^\gamma, \forall T \in [0, T_\varepsilon], \varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0] \ .$$

Similarly, let $\ln T_\varepsilon = c\varepsilon^{-A}$ with $A > 0$, and $s > 1$. Then for any $\delta > 0$, there exist $c > 0$ and $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that we have

$$\int_0^T \langle t\rangle^\beta \varepsilon^s dt \le \delta\varepsilon, \forall T \in [0, T_\varepsilon], \varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0] \ ,$$

if $\beta < -1$ or $A \le s-1$ when $\beta = -1$, and

$$\int_0^T \langle t\rangle^\beta \varepsilon^s dt \le \delta\varepsilon\langle T\rangle^\gamma, \forall T \in [0, T_\varepsilon], \varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0] \ ,$$

if $1 + \beta \le \gamma$ and $\gamma > 0$. Moreover,

$$\int_0^T \langle t\rangle^\beta \varepsilon^s dt \le \delta\varepsilon\langle T\rangle^\gamma, \forall T \in [0, \infty), \varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0] \ ,$$

if $1 + \beta \le \gamma$ and $\gamma \ge 0$, except the case of $1 + \beta = \gamma = 0$. For the critical case $\beta = -1$, we have

$$\int_0^T \langle t\rangle^\beta \varepsilon^s dt \le \delta\varepsilon \ln(2+T), \forall T \in [0, \infty), \varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0] \ ,$$

5.1. **Case 1.** Let us begin with the easier case: $p \ge q > 2/(n-1)$, $2 \le q \le q_c$. Let $T_\varepsilon = c\varepsilon^{-\frac{2q(q-1)}{2(q+1)-(n-1)q(q-1)}}$ when $q < q_c$, and $T_\varepsilon = \exp(c\varepsilon^{-(q-1)})$ when $q = q_c$, with the constant c to be determined.

Since $p \ge q$, we have

$$\alpha_1 = \frac{1}{q} - \frac{n-1}{2}(q-1), \alpha_2 = \frac{1}{q} - \frac{n-1}{2}(p-1) \le \alpha_1,$$

$$\alpha_3 := -\frac{n-1}{2}(p-1), \ \alpha_4 := -\frac{n-1}{2}(q-1) \in [\alpha_3, \alpha_1],$$

$$\alpha_5 := -q(\frac{n}{2} - s) + \frac{n}{2} = -\frac{1}{2} - \frac{n-1}{2}(q-1) \le \alpha_4.$$

We get from (3.7)-(3.8) that, for any $u \in B_{2C_1 M\varepsilon}$,

$$X_{Pu}^2(T) - C_1 M\varepsilon \lesssim \int_0^T (\langle t\rangle^{\alpha_1}\varepsilon^q + \langle t\rangle^{\alpha_2}\varepsilon^p)dt \lesssim \int_0^T \langle t\rangle^{\alpha_1}\varepsilon^q dt \ , \tag{5.2}$$

$$Y_{Pu}^2(T) - C_1 M\varepsilon \lesssim \int_0^T (\langle t\rangle^{\alpha_3}\varepsilon^p + \langle t\rangle^{\alpha_4}\varepsilon^q + \langle t\rangle^{\alpha_5}\varepsilon^q)dt \lesssim \int_0^T \langle t\rangle^{\alpha_1}\varepsilon^q dt \ . \tag{5.3}$$

Observe that for any $T \in [0, T_\varepsilon]$,

$$q = q_c \Leftrightarrow \alpha_1 = -1, A = q-1 \Rightarrow \int_0^T \langle t\rangle^{\alpha_1}\varepsilon^q dt \lesssim \ln(2+T)\varepsilon^q \le c\varepsilon \ll \varepsilon \ ,$$

$$q < q_c \Rightarrow A = \frac{2q(q-1)}{2(q+1)-(n-1)q(q-1)} > 0, A(1+\alpha_1) = q-1 \Rightarrow \int_0^T \langle t\rangle^{\alpha_1}\varepsilon^q dt \ll \varepsilon \, .$$

Then we get

$$u \in B_{2C_1M\varepsilon} \Rightarrow Pu \in B_{2C_1M\varepsilon}, \forall T \in [0, T_\varepsilon] \ .$$

Similarly, recalling (3.9)-(3.10), we have

$$u, v \in B_{2C_1M\varepsilon} \Rightarrow \|Pu - Pv\| \ll \|u - v\| \ .$$

In summary, there exist $c_0 > 0$ and $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that

$$u, v \in B_{2C_1M\varepsilon} \Rightarrow Pu \in B_{2C_1M\varepsilon}, \|Pu - Pv\| \le \frac{1}{2}\|u - v\|,$$

for any $c \in (0, c_0]$ and $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0]$.

5.2. **Case 2.** For $q \ge 2p-1$ and $2 \le p \le p_c$, let $\gamma = 1/q$, and

$$T_\varepsilon = \begin{cases} c\varepsilon^{-\frac{2(p-1)}{2-(n-1)(p-1)}} := c\varepsilon^{-A_G}, & p < p_c, \\ \exp(c\varepsilon^{-(p-1)}) := \exp(c\varepsilon^{-A_G}), & p = p_c \end{cases}$$

with c to be determined.

Observe that

$$p = p_c, q \ge 2p-1 \Rightarrow \frac{n-1}{2}(q-1) \ge 2, \frac{n-1}{2}(p-1) = 1 \Rightarrow \alpha_1 + \gamma q + 1 \le \gamma, \alpha_2 + 1 = \gamma,$$

$$\alpha_3 = -1, \alpha_4 + \frac{q+1}{q+2}q\gamma < -1, \alpha_5 + q\gamma < -1,$$

$$2 \le p < p_c, q \ge 2p-1 \Rightarrow A_G(\alpha_1 + \gamma q + 1 - \gamma) \le q-1, A_G(\alpha_2 + 1 - \gamma) = p-1,$$

$$A_G(\alpha_3 + 1) = p-1, A_G(\alpha_4 + \frac{q+1}{q+2}q\gamma + 1) \le q-1, A_G(\alpha_5 + q\gamma + 1) \le q-1.$$

By Lemma 5.2, we have for any $T \in [0, T_\varepsilon]$,

$$\int_0^T \langle t\rangle^{\alpha_1+\gamma q}\varepsilon^q dt + \int_0^T \langle t\rangle^{\alpha_2}\varepsilon^p dt \ll \varepsilon\langle T\rangle^\gamma$$

$$\int_0^T \langle t\rangle^{\alpha_3}\varepsilon^p dt + \int_0^T \langle t\rangle^{\alpha_4 + \frac{q+1}{q+2}q\gamma}\varepsilon^q + \langle t\rangle^{\alpha_5+q\gamma}\varepsilon^q dt \ll \varepsilon \ .$$

Then, as a consequence of (3.7)-(3.10), we conclude that there exist $c_0 > 0$ and $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that,

$$u, v \in B_{2C_1M\varepsilon} \Rightarrow Pu \in B_{2C_1M\varepsilon}, \|Pu - Pv\| \le \frac{1}{2}\|u - v\|,$$

for any $c \in (0, c_0]$ and $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0]$.

5.3. **Case 3.** In this case, we set $\gamma = \frac{q+1}{q-1}(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}) = \frac{q+1}{q-1}\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} - \frac{2}{q-1}$, and $T_\varepsilon = c\varepsilon^{-\frac{2p(q-1)}{2(q+1)-(n-1)p(q-1)}}$ with c to be determined.

As $((n-1)p-2)(q-1) < 4$, we have $A := \frac{2p(q-1)}{2(q+1)-(n-1)p(q-1)} > 0$, then $T_\varepsilon = c\varepsilon^{-A}$ and $\frac{1}{A} = \frac{q+1}{p(q-1)} - \frac{n-1}{2}$.

Observe that

$$A(\alpha_1 + \gamma q + 1 - \gamma) = q - 1, A(\alpha_2 + 1 - \gamma) = p - 1 \ ,$$

$$q \le 2p - 1 \Leftrightarrow A(\alpha_3 + 1) \le p - 1 \ ,$$

$$p \ge 2\frac{q+1}{q+3} \Leftrightarrow A(\alpha_4 + \frac{q+1}{q+2}q\gamma + 1) \le q - 1, A(\alpha_5 + q\gamma + 1) \le q - 1 \ .$$

Then by Lemma 5.2, we have for any $T \in [0, T_\varepsilon]$,

$$\int_0^T \langle t\rangle^{\alpha_1+\gamma q}\varepsilon^q dt + \int_0^T \langle t\rangle^{\alpha_2}\varepsilon^p dt \ll \varepsilon\langle T\rangle^\gamma \ ,$$

$$\int_0^T \langle t\rangle^{\alpha_3}\varepsilon^p dt + \int_0^T (\langle t\rangle^{\alpha_4+\frac{q+1}{q+2}q\gamma}\varepsilon^q + \langle t\rangle^{\alpha_5+q\gamma}\varepsilon^q)dt \ll \varepsilon \ .$$

Thus, as a consequence of (3.7)-(3.10), we conclude that there exist $c_0 > 0$ and $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that,

$$u, v \in B_{2C_1M\varepsilon} \Rightarrow Pu \in B_{2C_1M\varepsilon}, \|Pu - Pv\| \le \frac{1}{2}\|u - v\|,$$

for any $c \in (0, c_0]$ and $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0]$.

References

1. R. Agemi, *Blow-up of solutions to nonlinear wave equations in two space dimensions.* Manuscripta Math. **73** (1991), 153–162.
2. J. Bergh and J. Löfström, *Interpolation spaces. An introduction.* Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, No. 223. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1976.
3. D. Fang and C. Wang, *Weighted Strichartz estimates with angular regularity and their applications.* Forum Math. **23** (2011), 181–205.
4. V. Georgiev, H. Lindblad, and C.D. Sogge, *Weighted Strichartz estimates and global existence for semilinear wave equations.* Amer. J. Math. **119** (1997), 1291–1319.
5. R.T. Glassey, *Finite-time blow-up for solutions of nonlinear wave equations.* Math. Z. **177** (1981), 323–340.
6. R.T. Glassey, *Existence in the large for $\Box u = F(u)$ in two space dimensions.* Math. Z. **178** (1981), 233–261.
7. W. Han and Y. Zhou, *Blow up for some semilinear wave equations in multi-space dimensions.* Comm. Partial Differential Equations **39** (2014), 651–665.
8. K. Hidano, *Small data scattering for wave equations with super critical nonlinearity.* Proceedings of the 23rd Sapporo Symposium on Partial Differential Equations (Yoshikazu Giga, Edt.) (1998), 23-30, available at http://eprints3.math.sci.hokudai.ac.jp/1232/1/53.pdf
9. K. Hidano, J. Metcalfe, H. F. Smith, C. D. Sogge, Y. Zhou, *On abstract Strichartz estimates and the Strauss conjecture for nontrapping obstacles*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **362** (2010), 2789–2809.
10. K. Hidano and K. Tsutaya, *Global existence and asymptotic behavior of solutions for nonlinear wave equations.* Indiana Univ. Math. J. **44** (1995), 1273–1305.
11. K. Hidano, C. Wang, and K. Yokoyama, *The Glassey conjecture with radially symmetric data.* J. Math. Pures Appl. (9) **98** (2012), 518–541.
12. T. Hoshiro, *On weighted L^2 estimates of solutions to wave equations.* J. Anal. Math. **72** (1997), 127-140.
13. F. John, *Blow-up of solutions of nonlinear wave equations in three space dimensions.* Man. Math. **28** (1979), 235–268.

14. F. John, *Blow-up for quasilinear wave equations in three space dimensions*. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **34** (1981), 29–51.
15. S. Katayama, *Lifespan of solutions for two space dimensional wave equations with cubic nonlinearity*. Comm. Partial Differential Equations **26** (2001), 205–232.
16. T. Kato, *Blow-up of solutions of some nonlinear hyperbolic equations*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **33** (1980), 501–505.
17. S. Klainerman, *Remarks on the global Sobolev inequalities in the Minkowski space* $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **40** (1987), 111–117.
18. H. Kubo and K. Kubota, *Asymptotic behaviors of radially symmetric solutions of* $\Box u = |u|^p$ *for super critical values p in odd space dimensions*. Hokkaido Math. J. **24** (1995), 287–336.
19. H. Kubo and K. Kubota, *Asymptotic behaviors of radially symmetric solutions of* $\Box u = |u|^p$ *for super critical values p in even space dimensions*. Japan. J. Math. (N.S.) **24** (1998), 191–256.
20. N.A. Lai and Y. Zhou, *An elementary proof of Strauss conjecture*. J. Funct. Anal. **267** (2014), 1364–1381.
21. T.T. Li and Y. Zhou, *A note on the life-span of classical solutions to nonlinear wave equations in four space dimensions*. Indiana Univ. Math. J. **44** (1995), 1207–1248.
22. H. Lindblad, J. Metcalfe, C. D. Sogge, M. Tohaneanu, C. Wang *The Strauss conjecture on Kerr black hole backgrounds*. Math. Ann. **359** (2014), 637–661.
23. H. Lindblad and C.D. Sogge, *Long-time existence for small amplitude semilinear wave equations*. Amer. J. Math. **118** (1996), 1047–1135.
24. M.A. Rammaha, *Finite-time blow-up for nonlinear wave equations in high dimensions*. Comm. Partial Differential Equations **12** (1987), 677–700.
25. J. Schaeffer, *The equation* $\Box u = |u|^p$ *for the critical value p*. Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A **101** (1985), 31–44.
26. J. Schaeffer, *Finite-time blow-up for* $u_{tt} - \Delta u = H(u_r, u_t)$. Comm. Partial Differential Equations **11** (1986), 513–543.
27. T. C. Sideris, *Global behavior of solutions to nonlinear wave equations in three dimensions*. Comm. Partial Differential Equations **8** (1983), 1291–1323.
28. T. C. Sideris, *Nonexistence of global solutions to semilinear wave equations in high dimensions*. J. Differential Equations **52** (1984), 378–406.
29. H. F. Smith, C. D. Sogge, C. Wang, *Strichartz estimates for Dirichlet-wave equations in two dimensions with applications*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **364** (2012), 3329–3347.
30. W. A. Strauss, *Nonlinear scattering theory at low energy*, J. Funct. Anal. **41** (1981), 110–133.
31. H. Takamura, *Improved Kato's lemma on ordinary differential inequality and its application to semilinear wave equations*, Nonlinear Anal. **125** (2015), 227–240.
32. H. Takamura, K. Wakasa, *The sharp upper bound of the lifespan of solutions to critical semilinear wave equations in high dimensions*, J. Differential Equations **251** (2011), 1157–1171.
33. D. Tataru, *Strichartz estimates in the hyperbolic space and global existence for the semilinear wave equation*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **353** (2001), 795–807.
34. N. Tzvetkov, *Existence of global solutions to nonlinear massless Dirac system and wave equation with small data*. Tsukuba J. Math. **22** (1998), 193–211.
35. C. Wang, *The Glassey conjecture on asymptotically flat manifolds*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **367** (2015), 7429–7451.
36. C. Wang, *The Glassey conjecture for nontrapping obstacles*, J. Differential Equations **259**(2015), 510–530.
37. C. Wang, *Long time existence for semilinear wave equations on asymptotically flat spacetimes*, arXiv:1504.05652.
38. B.T. Yordanov and Q.S. Zhang, *Finite time blow up for critical wave equations in high dimensions*. J. Funct. Anal. **231** (2006), 361–374.
39. Y. Zhou, *Cauchy problem for semilinear wave equations in four space dimensions with small initial data*. J. Partial Differential Equations **8** (1995), 135–144.
40. Y. Zhou, *Blow up of solutions to the Cauchy problem for nonlinear wave equations*. Chinese Ann. Math. Ser. B **22** (2001), 275–280.
41. Y. Zhou, *Blow up of solutions to semilinear wave equations with critical exponent in high dimensions*, Chinese Ann. Math. Ser. B, **28** (2007), 205–212.

42. Y. Zhou, W. Han, *Blow up of solutions to semilinear wave equations with variable coefficients and boundary*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **374** (2011), 585–601.
43. Y. Zhou, W. Han, *Life-span of solutions to critical semilinear wave equations*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations **39** (2014), 439–451.

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Education, Mie University, 1577 Kurima-machiya-cho Tsu, Mie Prefecture 514-8507, Japan
E-mail address: hidano@edu.mie-u.ac.jp

School of Mathematical Sciences, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310027, China
E-mail address: wangcbo@zju.edu.cn
URL: http://www.math.zju.edu.cn/wang

Hokkaido University of Science, 7-15-4-1 Maeda, Teine-ku, Sapporo, Hokkaido 006-8585, Japan
E-mail address: yokoyama@hus.ac.jp